PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

RECEIVED

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

JUL 2 1 2008 To: **HOWARD SOBELMAN** SNELL & WILMER L.L.P. NOTIFICATION OF TRAI ONE ARIZONA CENTER THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT AND 400 E. VAN BUREN THE WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL PHOENIX, AZ 85004-2202 SEARCHING AUTHORITY, OR THE DECLARATION (PCT Rule 44.1) Date of mailing JUL 2008 (day/month/year) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraphs 1 and 4 below 80655.3216 International filing date International application No. PCT/US07/78253 (day/month/year) 12 September 2007 (12.09.2007) Applicant AMERICAN EXPRESS TRAVEL RELATED SERVICES COMPANY \bowtie The applicant is hereby notified that the international search report and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority have been established and are transmitted herewith. Filing of amendments and statement under Article 19: The applicant is entitled, if he so wishes, to amend the claims of the international application (see Rule 46): The time limit for filing such amendments is normally two months from the date of transmittal of the international search report. Where? Directly to the International Bureau of WIPO, 34 chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland, Facsimile No.: (41-22) 338.82.70. For more detailed instructions, see the notes on the accompanying sheet. The applicant is hereby notified that no international search report will be established and that the declaration under Article 17(2)(a) to that effect and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority are transmitted herewith. 3. With regard to the protest against payment of (an) additional fee(s) under Rule 40.2, the applicant is notified that: the protest together with the decision thereon has been transmitted to the International Bureau together with the applicant's request to forward the texts of both the protest and the decision thereon to the designated Offices. no decision has been made yet on the protest; the applicant will be notified as soon as a decision is made. Reminders Shortly after the expiration of 18 months from the priority date, the international application will be published by the International Bureau. If the applicant wishes to avoid or postpone publication, a notice of withdrawal of the international application, or of the priority claim, must reach the International Bureau as provided in Rules 90bis.1 and 90bis.3, respectively, before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication. The applicant may submit comments on an informal basis on the written opinion of the International Searching Authority to the International Bureau. The International Bureau will send a copy of such comments to all designated Offices unless an international preliminary examination report has been or is to be established. These comments would also be made available to the public but not before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date. Within 19 months from the priority date, but only in respect of some designated Offices, a demand for international preliminary examination must be filed if the applicant wishes to postpone the entry into the national phase until 30 months from the priority date (in some Offices even later); otherwise, the applicant must, within 20 months from the priority date, perform the prescribed acts for entry into the national phase before those designated Offices. In respect of other designated Offices, the time limit of 30 months (or later) will apply even if no demand is filed within 19 months. See the Annex to Form PCT/IB/301 and, for details about the applicable time limits, Office by Office, see the PCT Applicant's Guide, Volume II, National Chapters and the WIPO Internet site. Name and mailing address of the ISA/US Authorized officer Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450

Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201 Form PCT/ISA/220 (January 2004)

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

(See notes on accompanying sheet)

article 19 amend due 9/16/08 disclose to USPTO 10/16/09

Telephone No. (571) 272-5250

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 80655.3216		see Form PCT/ISA/220 as well as, where applicable, item 5 below.			
International application No. PCT/US07/78253	International filing date (day/month/year) 12 September 2007 (12.09.2007)	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year) 10 October 2006 (10.10.2006)			
Applicant AMERICAN EXPRESS TRAVEL RELATED SERVICES COMPANY					
This international search report has been prepared by this International Searching Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 18. A copy is being transmitted to the International Bureau. This international search report consists of a total of sheets. It is also accompanied by a copy of each prior art document cited in this report. 1. Basis of the Report a. With regard to the language, the international search was carried out on the basis of: the international application in the language in which it was filed. a translation of the international application into, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)) This international search report has been established taking into account the rectification of an obvious mistake authorized by or notified to this Authority under Rule 91 Rule 43.6 bis(a) With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, see Box No. I. Certain claims were found unsearchable (See Box No. II) 1. Unity of invention is lacking (See Box No. III) 4. With regard to the title, With regard to the title, the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:					
5. With regard to the abstract, the text is approved as submother than the text has been established may, within one month from	, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authori	ity as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant arch report, submit comments to this Authority.			
as suggested by the as selected by this A	Authority, because the applicant failed to su Authority, because this figure better characte	ggest a figure.			

Form PCT/ISA/210 (first sheet) (April 2007)

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US07/78253

	SIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER				
IPC:	G06Q 30/00(2006.01)				
USPC: 705/14 According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC					
B. FIELI	OS SEARCHED				
Minimum doo U.S. : 70	cumentation searched (classification system followed by 15/14	y classificat	ion symbols)		
Documentation	Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched				
Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) East text search (advance, future, points, loyalty, credit)					
C. DOCU	JMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT				
Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where ap			Relevant to claim No.	
X	US 2005/0021457 A1 (JOHNSON et al) 27 January 2	2005 (27.01	.2005), Paragraphs 0036,	1-16	
E	0062-0065 US 2007/0239523 A1 (YI) 11 October 2007 (11.10.2007), Paragraph 0019.			1-16	
,					
			·		
,					
Further	documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.		See patent family annex.		
* S	pecial categories of cited documents:	"T"	later document published after the inte date and not in conflict with the applic	ation but cited to understand the	
1	t defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of		principle or theory underlying the inve	ntion	
•	plication or patent published on or after the international filing date	"X"	document of particular relevance; the considered novel or cannot be conside when the document is taken alone		
	t which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as	"Y"	document of particular relevance; the considered to involve an inventive step	when the document is	
"O" documen	t referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means		combined with one or more other such being obvious to a person skilled in the		
				family	
Date of the actual completion of the international search 17 June 2008 (17.06.2008) Date of mailing of the international search report 18 July 2008			h report		
Name and mailing address of the ISA/US Authorized officer					
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents Eric W. Stamber					
	P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Telephone No. (571) 272-5250				
Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201					

Form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) (April 2007)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

INTERNATIO	NAL SEARCH	ING AUTHO	DRITY				
To: HOWARD SOBELMAN			PCT				
	ILMER L.L.P.						
1	NA CENTER				WR	ITTEN OPINION OF THE	
400 E. VAN PHOENIX,	BUREN AZ 85004-2202	2			INTERNATIO	ONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY	
					(PCT Rule 43bis.1)		
					Date of mailing	16 JUL 2008	
Augliaant's s	or agent's file re	forence			(day/month/year) FOR FURTHER		
80655.3216	or agent sine re	iciciice			See paragraph 2 below		
	application No.		Internati	onal filing date	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)	
PCT/US07/7			12 Septe	ember 2007 (12.0	09.2007)	10 October 2006 (10.10.2006)	
International	Patent Classific	ation (IPC) o	or both na	tional classificat	ion and IPC		
	6Q 30/00 (2006 5/14	5.01)					
Applicant							
AMERICAN	EXPRESS TR	AVEL RELA	TED SE	RVICES COMP	ANY		
1. This opin	nion contains in	dications rela	ating to th	e following item	s:		
5-3	Зох No. I	Basis of the opinion					
	Box No. II	Priority					
	Box No. III						
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention					
	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited					
	Box No. VII	Certain def	ects in the	international ap	onal application		
	Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application						
2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.							
If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.							
For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.							
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.							
Name and m	nailing address o	of the ISA/ U	S	Date of compl	etion of this opinion	Authorized officer	
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US		17 June 2008 (Eric W. Stamber			
P.O. Box 1450				Telephone No. (571) 272-5250			

P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201
Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (April 2007)

From the

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/US07/78253

Box No. I Basis of this opinion				
1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:				
the international application in the language in which it was filed				
a translation of the international application into, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).				
2. This opinion has been established taking into account the rectification of an obvious mistake authorized by or notified to this Authority under Rule 91 (Rule 43bis.1(a))				
3. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, this opinion has been established on the basis of:				
a. type of material				
a sequence listing				
table(s) related to the sequence listing				
b. format of material				
on paper				
in electronic form				
c. time of filing/furnishing				
contained in the international application as filed.				
filed together with the international application in electronic form.				
furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.				
4. In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.				
5. Additional comments:				

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US07/78253

1. Statement Novelty (N) Claims NONE Claims 1-16 NO Inventive step (IS) Claims NONE Claims 1-16 NO Industrial applicability (IA) Claims 1-16 NO Industrial applicability (IA) Claims 1-16 YES Claims NONE Claims NONE Claims NONE ONE 2. Citations and explanations: Claims 1-16 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Johnson (U.S. Pub No. 2005/0021457). Regarding claims 1, 7-9, 12-16, Johnson teaches awarding points to a customer, the points being exchangeable for rewards. When a redemption is requested, Johnson teaches checking to see if there are enough points in the customer account, and if there are not, allowing the customer to borrow points. When the redemption takes place, the points are debited (and transferred an "entity") (Paragraph 0064). Regarding claim 2, Johnson teaches ackulating an amount of borrowed reward points needed based on the amount currently in the account (Paragraph 0064). The amount currently in the account is based on purchase history. Thus, the amount of borrowed reward points needed is based on purchase history. Regarding claims 3-6, 10, 11, Johnson teaches a time period to re-earn borrowed points, and should that time period be exceeded, a payment of a fee (Paragraph 0064).	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
Inventive step (IS) Claims 1-16 NO Industrial applicability (IA) Claims 1-16 NO Industrial applicability (IA) Claims 1-16 Claims NonE Claims NonE NO 2. Citations and explanations: Claims 1-16 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Johnson (U.S. Pub No. 2005/0021457). Regarding claims 1, 7-9, 12-16, Johnson teaches awarding points to a customer, the points being exchangeable for rewards. When a redemption is requested, Johnson teaches checking to see if there are enough points in the customer account, and if there are not, allowing the customer to borrow points. When the redemption takes place, the points are debited (and transferred to an "entity") (Paragraph 0064). Regarding claim 2, Johnson teaches calculating an amount of borrowed reward points needed based on the amount currently in the account (Paragraph 0064). The amount currently in the account (Paragraph 0064). The amount currently in the account is based on purchase history. Regarding claims 3-6, 10, 11, Johnson teaches a time period to re-earn borrowed points, and should that time period be exceeded, a payment of a fee (Paragraph 0064).	1. Statement				
Inventive step (IS) Claims 1-16 NOE Claims 1-16 NO Industrial applicability (IA) Claims 1-16 NO Claims 1-16 NO Claims 1-16 NO Claims 1-16 NO Claims 1-16 YES NO Claims 1-16 YES NO Claims 1-16 NO Claims 1-16	Novelty (N)	Claims NONE	YES		
Industrial applicability (IA) Claims 1-16 Claims 1-16 Claims 1-16 Claims 1-16 Claims 1-16 YES NONE Claims 1-16 Industrial applicability (IA) Claims 1-16 Industrial Indu	110.0103 (21)				
Industrial applicability (IA) Claims 1-16 Claims 1-16 Claims 1-16 Claims 1-16 Claims 1-16 YES NONE Claims 1-16 Industrial applicability (IA) Claims 1-16 Industrial Indu			VEG		
Industrial applicability (IA) Claims 1-16 YES Claims NONE 2. Citations and explanations: Claims 1-16 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Johnson (U.S. Pub No. 2005/0021457). Regarding claims 1, 7-9, 12-16, Johnson teaches awarding points to a customer, the points being exchangeable for rewards. When a redemption is requested, Johnson teaches checking to see if there are enough points in the customer account, and if there are not, allowing the customer to borrow points. When the redemption takes place, the points are debited (and transferred to an "entity") (Paragraph 0064). Regarding claim 2, Johnson teaches calculating an amount of borrowed reward points needed based on the amount currently in the account (Paragraph 0064). The amount currently in the account is based on purchase history. Thus, the amount of borrowed reward points needed is based on purchase history. Regarding claims 3-6, 10, 11, Johnson teaches a time period to re-earn borrowed points, and should that time period be exceeded, a payment of a fee (Paragraph 0064).	Inventive step (IS)				
Claims NONE NO Claims NONE NO Claims NONE NO Claims 1-16 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Johnson (U.S. Pub No. 2005/0021457). Regarding claims 1, 7-9, 12-16, Johnson teaches awarding points to a customer, the points being exchangeable for rewards. When a redemption is requested, Johnson teaches checking to see if there are enough points in the customer account, and if there are not, allowing the customer to borrow points. When the redemption takes place, the points are debited (and transferred to an "entity") (Paragraph 0064). Regarding claim 2, Johnson teaches calculating an amount of borrowed reward points needed based on the amount currently in the account (Paragraph 0064). The amount currently in the account is based on purchase history. Regarding claims 3-6, 10, 11, Johnson teaches a time period to re-earn borrowed points, and should that time period be exceeded, a payment of a fee (Paragraph 0064).		Claims 1-10			
Claims NONE 2. Citations and explanations: Claims 1-16 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Johnson (U.S. Pub No. 2005/0021457). Regarding claims 1, 7-9, 12-16, Johnson teaches awarding points to a customer, the points being exchangeable for rewards. When a redemption is requested, Johnson teaches checking to see if there are enough points in the customer account, and if there are not, allowing the customer to borrow points. When the redemption takes place, the points are debited (and transferred to an "entity") (Paragraph 0064). Regarding claim 2, Johnson teaches calculating an amount of borrowed reward points needed based on the amount currently in the account (Paragraph 0064). The amount currently in the account is based on purchase history. Thus, the amount of borrowed reward points needed is based on purchase history. Regarding claims 3-6, 10, 11, Johnson teaches a time period to re-earn borrowed points, and should that time period be exceeded, a payment of a fee (Paragraph 0064).	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1-16	YES		
Claims 1-16 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Johnson (U.S. Pub No. 2005/0021457). Regarding claims 1, 7-9, 12-16, Johnson teaches awarding points to a customer, the points being exchangeable for rewards. When a redemption is requested, Johnson teaches checking to see if there are enough points in the customer account, and if there are not, allowing the customer to borrow points. When the redemption takes place, the points are debited (and transferred to an "entity") (Paragraph 0064). Regarding claim 2, Johnson teaches calculating an amount of borrowed reward points needed based on the amount currently in the account (Paragraph 0064). The amount currently in the account (Paragraph 0064). The amount currently in the account is based on purchase history. Thus, the amount of borrowed reward points needed is based on purchase history. Regarding claims 3-6, 10, 11, Johnson teaches a time period to re-earn borrowed points, and should that time period be exceeded, a payment of a fee (Paragraph 0064).	, , ,	Claims NONE	NO		
	Claims 1-16 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) Regarding claims 1, 7-9, 12-16, Johnson teaches averdemption is requested, Johnson teaches checking allowing the customer to borrow points. When the (Paragraph 0064). Regarding claim 2, Johnson teaches calculating an account (Paragraph 0064). The amount currently is points needed is based on purchase history. Regarding claims 3-6, 10, 11, Johnson teaches a tingayment of a fee (Paragraph 0064).	warding points to a customer, the points being excluto see if there are enough points in the customer a redemption takes place, the points are debited (an amount of borrowed reward points needed based on the account is based on purchase history. Thus, me period to re-earn borrowed points, and should to	nangeable for rewards. When a account, and if there are not, d transferred to an "entity") on the amount currently in the the amount of borrowed reward that time period be exceeded, a		